EE 508 Lecture 15

Filter Transformations

Lowpass to Bandpass

Theorem: If the perimeter variations and contact resistance are neglected, the standard deviation of the local random variations of a resistor of area A is given by the expression Δ

$$\sigma_{\frac{\mathsf{R}}{\mathsf{R}_{\mathsf{N}}}} = \frac{\mathsf{A}_{\rho}}{\sqrt{\mathsf{A}}}$$

Theorem: If the perimeter variations are neglected, the standard deviation of the local random variations of a capacitor of area A is given by the expression

$$\sigma_{\frac{C}{C_{N}}} = \frac{A_{C}}{\sqrt{A}}$$

Theorem: If the perimeter variations are neglected, the variance of the local random variations of the normalized threshold voltage of a rectangular MOS transistor of dimensions W and L is given by the expression

$$\sigma_{\frac{V_{T}}{V_{T_{N}}}}^{2} = \frac{A_{VTO}^{2}}{V_{T_{N}}^{2}WL} \quad \text{or as} \quad \sigma_{\frac{V_{T}}{V_{T_{N}}}}^{2} = \frac{A_{VT}^{2}}{WL}$$

Theorem: If the perimeter variations are neglected, the variance of the local random variations of the normalized C_{OX} of a rectangular MOS transistor of dimensions W and L is given by the expression

$$\sigma_{\frac{C_{OX}}{C_{OXN}}}^2 = \frac{A_{COX}^2}{WL}$$

Theorem: If the perimeter variations are neglected, the variance of the local random variations of the normalized mobility of a rectangular MOS transistor of dimensions W and L is given by the expression

$$\sigma_{\frac{\mu_{R}}{\mu_{N}}}^{2} = \frac{A_{\mu}^{2}}{WL}$$

where the parameters A_X are all constants characteristic of the process (i.e. model parameters)

- The effects of edge roughness on the variance of resistors, capacitors, and transistors can readily be included but for most layouts is dominated by the area dependent variations
- There is some correlation between the model parameters of MOS transistors but they are often ignored to simplify calculations

Review from Last Time Statistical Modeling of dimensionless parameters - example



$$\zeta = 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}$$

Assume common centroid layout area of R_1 is $100u^2$ $A_p=.01\mu m$

Determine the yield if the nominal gain is $10 \pm 1\%$

Review from Last Time Statistical Modeling of dimensionless parameters - example $K = 1 + \frac{R_2}{R_1}$



$$A_{\rho} = .025 \text{um } A_{R1} = 10 \text{um}^2$$
$$\sigma_{\frac{R_{PROC}}{R_{NOM}}} = 0.2$$

Determine the yield if the nominal gain is 10 $\pm 1\%$

$$\frac{K}{K_{N}} \propto N(1, 0.0075) \qquad \qquad \frac{K}{K_{N}} -1 \\ 0.0075 \propto N(0,1) \\ 9.9 < K < 10.1 \\ .99 < \frac{K}{K_{N}} < 1.01 \\ -.01 < \frac{K}{K_{N}} -1 < .01 \\ \hline Y = 2F_{N(0,1)}(1.33) -1 = 2^{*}.9082 -1 = 0.8164 \\ Dramatic drop from 100\% yield to about 82\% yield! \\ \hline Second Seco$$

Statistical Modeling of Filter Characteristics

The variance of dimensioned filter parameters (e.g. ω_0 , poles, band edges, ...) is often very large due to the process-level random variables which dominate

The variance of dimensionless filter parameters (e.g. Q, gain, ...) are often quite small since in a good design they will depend dominantly on local random variations which are much smaller than process-level variations

The variance of dimensionless filter parameters is invariably proportional to the reciprocal of the square root of the relevant area and thus can be managed with appropriate area allocation

Linearization of Functions of a Random Variable

- Characteristics of most circuits of interest are themselves random variables
- Relationship between characteristics and the random variables often highly nonlinear
- Ad Hoc manipulations (repeated Taylor's series expansions) were used to linearize the characteristics in terms of the random variables

 $Y \cong Y_N + \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i x_{Ri} \right)$

 This is important because if the random variables are uncorrelated the variance of the characteristic can be readily obtained

$$\sigma_Y^2 \cong \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i^2 \sigma_{x_{Ri}}^2 \right)$$
$$\sigma_{\frac{Y}{Y_N}}^2 \cong \frac{1}{Y_N^2} \bullet \sum_{i=1}^n \left(a_i^2 \sigma_{x_{Ri}}^2 \right)$$

- This approach was applicable since the random variables are small
- These Ad Hoc manipulations can be formalized and this follows

Formalization of Statistical Analysis

$$Y = f(x_{1N}, x_{2N}, \dots, x_{nN}) = f([X_N], [X_R])$$

$$\sigma_{\frac{Y}{Y_N}}^2 = \frac{1}{Y_N^2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\left[\frac{\partial f}{\partial x_i} \Big|_{[X_N], [X_R]=[0]} \right]^2 \bullet \sigma_{x_{Ri}}^2 \right)$$



- Sensitivity analysis often used for statistical characterization of filter performance
- This is often much faster and less tedious than doing the linearization as described above though actually concepts are identical

Filter Design Process



Filter Transformations

Lowpass to Bandpass (LP to BP) Lowpass to Highpass (LP to HP) Lowpass to Band-reject (LP to BR)

Approach will be to take advantage of the results obtained for the standard LP approximations

Will focus on flat passband and zero-gain stop-band transformations

Will focus on transformations that map passband to passband and stopband to stopband

Filter Transformations

Claim:

If the imaginary axis in the s-plane is mapped to the imaginary axis in the s-plane with a variable mapping function, the basic shape of the function T(s) will be preserved in the function T(f(s)) but the frequency axis may be warped and/or folded

Preserving basic shape, in this context, constitutes maintaining features in the magnitude response of T(f(s)) that are in T(s) including, but not limited to, the peak amplitude, number of ripples, peaks of ripples,

Example: Shape Preservation

Example: Shape Preservation

Flat Passband/Stopband Filters

Filter Transformations

Lowpass to Bandpass (LP to BP) Lowpass to Highpass (LP to HP) Lowpass to Band-reject (LP to BR)

• Approach will be to take advantage of the results obtained for the standard LP approximations

• Will focus on flat passband and zero-gain stop-band transformations

• Will focus on transformations that map passband to passband, stopband to stopband, and Im axis to Im axis

LP to BP Filter Transformations

- · Not all rational fraction mappings will map Im axis to the Im axis
- Not all rational fraction mappings will map passband to passband and stopband to stopband
- Consider only that subset of those mappings with these properties

Mapping Strategy: Consider first a mapping to a normalized BP approximation

Mapping Strategy: Consider first a mapping to a normalized BP approximation

A mapping from $s \rightarrow f(s)$ will map the entire imaginary axis

Thus, must consider both positive and negative frequencies. Since $|T(j\omega)|$ is a function of ω^2 , the magnitude response on the negative ω axis will be a mirror image of that on the positive ω axis

Normalized LP to Normalized BP mapping Strategy:

Variable Mapping Strategy to Preserve Shape of LP function:

consider:

s-domain

ω-domain

map s=j0 to s=j1 $T_{LPN}(f(s))$ map $\omega = 0$ to $\omega = 1$ map s=j1 to s=j ω_{BN} map s= -j1 to s= $j\omega_{AN}$

map $\omega = 1$ to $\omega = \omega_{BN}$ map $\omega = -1$ to $\omega = \omega_{\Delta N}$

This mapping will introduce 3 constraints

Consider variable mapping

$$f(s) = \frac{a_{T2}s^{2} + a_{T1}s + a_{T0}}{b_{T1}s + b_{T0}}$$

With this mapping, there are 5 D.O.F and 3 mathematical constraints and the additional constraints that the Im axis maps to the Im axis and maps PB to PB and SB to SB

Will now show that the following mapping will meet these constraints

$$f(s) = \frac{s^2 + 1}{s \cdot BW_{N}} \qquad \text{or} \qquad s \rightarrow \frac{s^2 + 1}{s \cdot BW_{N}}$$
equivalently

This is the lowest-order mapping that will meet these constraints and it doubles the order of the approximation

map s=0 to s= j1 map s=j1 to s=j ω_{BN} map s= -j1 to s= j ω_{AN}

s-domain

T_{LPN}(f(s))

ω-domain

map $\omega=0$ to $\omega=1$ map $\omega=1$ to $\omega=\omega_{BN}$ map $\omega=-1$ to $\omega=\omega_{AN}$

Verification of mapping Strategy:

1

$$s \rightarrow \frac{s^2+1}{s \cdot BW_N}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{s}^{2}+1}{\mathbf{s}\cdot\mathbf{BW}_{N}}\Big|_{j1} = 0 \qquad \Rightarrow \quad 0 \to j1$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{s}^{2}+1}{\mathbf{s}\cdot\mathbf{BW}_{N}}\Big|_{j1} = \frac{1-\omega_{BN}^{2}}{j\omega_{BN}(\omega_{BN}-\omega_{AN})} = j\frac{\omega_{BN}^{2}-1}{\omega_{BN}^{2}-\omega_{AN}\omega_{BN}} = j\frac{\omega_{BN}^{2}-1}{\omega_{BN}^{2}-1} = j \qquad \Rightarrow \quad j1 \to j\omega_{BN}$$

$$\frac{\mathbf{s}^{2}+1}{\mathbf{s}\cdot\mathbf{BW}_{N}}\Big|_{j\omega_{AN}} = \frac{1-\omega_{AN}^{2}}{j\omega_{AN}(\omega_{BN}-\omega_{AN})} = j\frac{\omega_{AN}^{2}-1}{\omega_{AN}\omega_{BN}-\omega_{AN}^{2}} = j\frac{\omega_{AN}^{2}-1}{1-\omega_{AN}^{2}} = -j \qquad \Rightarrow \quad -j1 \to j\omega_{AN}$$

Must still show that the Im axis maps to the Im axis and maps PB to PB and SB to SB

s-domain ω-domain $T_{LPN}(f(s))$ map s=0 to s=j1map $\omega = 0$ to $\omega = 1$ map s=j1 to s=j ω_{BN} map $\omega = 1$ to $\omega = \omega_{BN}$ map s= -j1 to s= $j\omega_{AN}$ map $\omega = -1$ to $\omega = \omega_{AN}$ Verification of mapping Strategy: $S \rightarrow \frac{S+1}{S \cdot BW}$ $j\omega = \frac{s^2 + 1}{s \cdot BW}$ Image of Im axis: solving for s, obtain $s = \frac{j\omega \cdot BW_{N} \pm \sqrt{(BW_{N} \cdot j\omega)^{2} - 4}}{2} = j \left(\frac{\omega \cdot BW_{N} \pm \sqrt{(BW_{N} \cdot \omega)^{2} + 4}}{2} \right)$

this has no real part so the imaginary axis maps to the imaginary axis Can readily show this mapping maps PB to PB and SB to SB

The mapping $S \rightarrow \frac{S^2 + 1}{S^{\bullet} BW_{N}}$ is termed the standard LP to BP transformation

The standard LP to BP transformation

 $s \rightarrow \frac{s^2 + 1}{s \cdot BW}$

If we add a subscript to the LP variable for notational convenience, can express this mapping as

 $s_{x} = \overline{s \cdot BW}$

Question: Is this mapping dimensionally consistent?

• The dimensions of the constant "1" in the numerator must be set so that this is dimensionally consistent

The dimensions of BW_N must be set so that this is dimensionally consistent

$$= \frac{s^2 + 1}{-s^2 + 1}$$

Frequency and s-domain Mappings

(subscript variable in LP approximation for notational convenience)

Exercise: Resolve the dimensional consistency in the last equation

Frequency and s-domain Mappings - Denormalized

(subscript variable in LP approximation for notational convenience)

Exercise: Resolve the dimensional consistency in the last equation

Frequency and s-domain Mappings - Denormalized

(subscript variable in LP approximation for notational convenience)

All three approaches give same approximation

Which is most practical to use?

Often none of them !

Frequency and s-domain Mappings - Denormalized

(subscript variable in LP approximation for notational convenience)

Often most practical to synthesize directly from the T_{BPN} and then do the frequency scaling of components at the circuit level rather than at the approximation level

Frequency and s-domain Mappings

(subscript variable in LP approximation for notational convenience)

Poles and Zeros of the BP approximations

$$\begin{split} s_{x} & \xrightarrow{f} \frac{s^{2}+1}{s^{\bullet}BW_{N}} \xrightarrow{s \to s} s \xleftarrow{f^{-1}} \frac{s_{x} \cdot BW_{N} \pm \sqrt{\left(BW_{N} \cdot s_{x}\right)^{2} - 4}}{2} \\ & T_{BP}\left(s\right) = T_{LPN}\left(f\left(s\right)\right) \\ & T_{LPN}\left(p_{x}\right) = 0 \\ & T_{LPN}\left(f\left(p\right)\right) = 0 \\ & T_{BP}\left(p\right) = T_{LPN}\left(f\left(p\right)\right) = 0 \end{split}$$

Since this relationship maps the complex plane to the complex plane, it also maps the poles and zeros of the LP approximation to the poles and zeros of the BP approximation

Pole Mappings

Claim: With a variable mapping transform, the variable mapping naturally defines the mapping of the poles of the transformed function

Exercise: Resolve the dimensional consistency in the last equation

Pole Mappings

$$p \leftarrow \frac{p_x \bullet BW_N \pm \sqrt{(BW_N \bullet p_x)^2 - 4}}{2}$$

Image of the cc pole pair is the two pairs of poles

Pole Mappings

Can show that the upper hp pole maps to one upper hp pole and one lower hp pole as shown. Corresponding mapping of the lower hp pole is also shown

Pole Mappings

Note doubling of poles, addition of zeros, and likely Q enhancement

Claim: Other variable mapping transforms exist that satisfy the imaginary axis mapping properties needed to obtain the LP to BP transformation but are seldom, if ever, discussed. The Standard LP to BP transform Is by far the most popular and most authors treat it as if it is unique.

Consider a pole in the LP approximation characterized by $\{\omega_{0LP}, Q_{LP}\}$

It can be shown that the corresponding BP poles have the same Q (i.e. both bp poles lie on a common radial line)

For $\,\delta$ small,

$$_{\rm BP} \cong \frac{2 \rm Q_{\rm LP}}{\delta}$$

It can be shown that

$$\omega_{\rm OBP} = \frac{\omega_{\rm M}}{2} \left[\delta \frac{Q_{\rm BP}}{Q_{\rm LP}} \pm \sqrt{\left(\delta \frac{Q_{\rm BP}}{Q_{\rm LP}} \right)^2 - 4} \right]$$

Note for δ small, \textbf{Q}_{BP} can get very large

Q

Pole Q of BP Approximations

Classical BP Approximations

Butterworth Chebyschev Elliptic Bessel

Obtained by the LP to BP transformation of the corresponding LP approximations

Standard LP to BP Transformation $s \rightarrow \frac{s^2 + 1}{s \cdot BW_{N}}$

- Standard LP to BP transform is a variable mapping transform
- Maps j ω axis to j ω axis
- Maps LP poles to BP poles
- Preserves basic shape but warps frequency axis
- Doubles order
- Pole Q of resultant band-pass functions can be very large for narrow pass-band
- Sequencing of frequency scaling and transformation does not affect final function

Example 1: Obtain an approximation that meets the following specifications

BW= $\omega_{B}-\omega_{A}$

$$\omega_{\rm M} = \sqrt{\omega_{\rm B} \bullet \omega_{\rm A}}$$

Assume that $\omega_{\text{AL}},\,\omega_{\text{BH}}$ and ω_{M} satsify

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm M}^2 \textbf{-} \omega_{\rm AL}^2}{\omega_{\rm AL}} \textbf{-} \frac{\omega_{\rm BH}^2 \textbf{-} \omega_{\rm M}^2}{\omega_{\rm BH}} \textbf{-} \mathbf{W}_{\rm M}^2$$

Recall from above: Standard LP to BP Transformation

Frequency and s-domain Mappings - Denormalized

(subscript variable in LP approximation for notational convenience)

Example 1: Obtain an approximation that meets the following specifications

(actually ω_A and ω_{AL} that map to -1 and $-\omega_s$ respectively but show 1 and ω_s for convenience)

 $BW = \omega_{B} - \omega_{A}$ $\omega_{M} = \sqrt{\omega_{B} \cdot \omega_{A}}$

In this example,

$$\frac{\omega_{\rm M}^2 \text{-} \omega_{\rm AL}^2}{\omega_{\rm AL}} \neq \frac{\omega_{\rm BH}^2 \text{-} \omega_{\rm M}^2}{\omega_{\rm BH}} \text{-} BW$$

ω

 $\omega_{_{SN}} = \min\{\omega_{_{S1}}, \omega_{_{S2}}\}$

Example 2: Obtain an approximation that meets the following specifications

 $\omega_{sN} = \min\{\omega_{s1}, \omega_{s2}\}$

Stay Safe and Stay Healthy !

End of Lecture 15